

Genesis Design Model Meets The Criteria For A Good Theory

Genesis Event

Creation of the Space-Time Universe

***Earth Formed - Water Begins To Condense - Global Sea Emerges
Atmosphere (Expanse) Created***

Dry Land Created

***Earth-Moon System Created
Atmosphere Becomes Transparent
(single-celled plant life created by now)***

***Creation of Sea Animals
(multicellular to amphibians /
reptiles / winged animals)
(Creation of “great reptiles”
the largest reptiles are dinosaurs)***

***Creation of Land Animals
(Domesticated - Livestock)
(Non-domesticated - Wild)***

Creation of Mammals / Human Life

Science / Paleontology

***Big Bang of Cosmology
(light bursts forth from darkness)***

***Volcanic Activity Ends / Earth Cools
Atmosphere Forms Over The Sea
(troposphere - greenhouse effect)***

***Origin of Double Planet System
(theory of the origin of the moon from
the earth would create a basin in the
earth for water to gather to one side)***

***Cambrian Explosion / Age of Fish
array of multicellular animals
having the body plans of “virtually
all creatures that now swim, fly or
crawl throughout the world.”)***

Age of Amphibians / Reptiles

Age of Mammals / Humanity

Test Criteria For A Valid Theory¹

Macroevolution: Explaining a Large Class of Observations:

1. Explains the origin of life by “random” processes without verification from operation science.
2. Explains the origin of new life forms by extrapolating microevolutionary data to the macro level when operation science shows no such evidence exists.
3. Explains the mechanism for macroevolution in terms of “natural selection and “survival of the fittest.” Yet, the analogy given from “artificial” to “natural” selection is not analogical.

Design Model: Explaining a Large Class of Observations:

- 1 Explains the origin of life by intelligent design and creation of the genetic text.
- 2 Explains the origin of new life forms by the intervention of the Creator with “new information.”
- 3 Explains the extinction of certain species by encountering changing environmental pressures that forces them to try and survive beyond their “natural biological and genetic limits.”

Macroevolution: Making Predictions about the Results of Future Observations

1. Predicted no limits to microevolution, but failed to provide evidence from genetic experiments.
2. Predicted, but failed to show that the fossil record contained continuous and successive changes.
3. Predicted the fossil record would reveal many transitions, but nothing significant was discovered.

Design Model: Making Predictions about the Results of Future Observations

- 1 Predicted/ confirmed by genetic research that the DNA molecule would reveal intelligent design.
- 2 Predicted / confirmed by the fossil record that new life forms appeared suddenly and globally leaving evidence of “bursts of creation” (showing up as many “trees” instead of one).
- 3 Predicted and confirmed by the fossil record that there are biological limits that exist within a given life form and those limits would appear in the fossil record as “very large gaps.”
- 4 Predicted that all the evidence would lead scientists back to one common intelligent Designer.

¹ Criteria taken from Stephen W. Hawking, *A Brief History of Time* (New York: Bantam Books, 1992), 9.